

UNITED STATES
FOREIGN INTELLIGENCE SURVEILLANCE COURT
WASHINGTON, D.C.

U.S. FOREIGN
INTELLIGENCE
SURVEILLANCE COURT

2013 OCT 10 PM 2:14

LEEANN FLYNN HALL
CLERK OF COURT

IN RE MOTION FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF GOOGLE
INC.'S FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHT TO PUBLISH AGGREGATE
INFORMATION ABOUT FISA ORDERS.

Docket No. Misc. 13-03

IN RE MOTION TO DISCLOSE AGGREGATE DATA REGARDING
FISA ORDERS

Docket No. Misc. 13-04

MOTION OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT COALITION, AMERICAN CIVIL
LIBERTIES UNION, CENTER FOR DEMOCRACY AND TECHNOLOGY,
ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATION, AND TECHFREEDOM AS
AMICI CURIAE FOR LEAVE TO PARTICIPATE IN ORAL ARGUMENT

Floyd Abrams
Dean Ringel
CAHILL GORDON & REINDEL LLP
80 Pine Street
New York, New York 10005-1772
(212) 701-3000
Counsel for Amici Curiae

October 10, 2013

The First Amendment Coalition, American Civil Liberties Union, Center for Democracy and Technology, Electronic Frontier Foundation, and TechFreedom (together, “*Amici*”) are public interest organizations dedicated to the preservation of civil liberties. They have previously submitted a brief *Amici Curiae* in support of motions filed by Google, Inc. (“Google”) and Microsoft Corporation (“Microsoft”) seeking declaratory judgments confirming the ability of those parties to disclose limited aggregate numerical information relating to requests that each may have received from the government pursuant to the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act (“FISA”). See *In re Motion for Declaratory Judgment of Google Inc.’s First Amendment Right to Publish Aggregate Information About FISA Orders*, Docket No. Misc. 13-03 (June 18, 2013); *In re Motion to Disclose Aggregate Data Regarding FISA Orders*, Docket No. Misc. 13-04 (June 19, 2013). Google has since filed amended motions for declaratory judgment seeking, *inter alia*, “public oral argument.” *Amended Motion for Declaratory Judgment of Google Inc.’s First Amendment Right to Publish Aggregate Information About FISA Orders*, Docket No. Misc. 13-03 (Sept. 9, 2013), at 1; see also *Microsoft’s First Amended Motion to Disclose Aggregate Data Regarding FISA Orders*, Docket No. Misc. 13-04 (Sept. 9, 2013), at 1.

Amici now respectfully submit this motion for leave for their counsel, Floyd Abrams, to participate in oral argument on those motions.

The argument (and ultimately the resolution) of the Google and Microsoft motions will deal with the fundamental question of how the public is to be informed about a surveillance system undertaken to protect that public. It will explore the restrictions on disclosure imposed by statute and this Court’s orders and the limitations on such compelled non-disclosure imposed by those same statutes and the Constitution. *Amici* and their counsel, while mindful of constraints imposed by considerations of national security in this area, have substantial experience in advancing arguments rooted in the public interest in open judicial proceedings. Our participation in oral argument would, we submit, assist the Court in its deliberations, facilitate the public’s understanding and evaluation of government surveillance programs, and provide transparency to a

judicial oversight process that has been the subject of considerable discussion, some accurate, some not.

Transparency is especially important in “light of the active, continuing public debate” surrounding the government’s investigatory powers, “which has spawned a series of Congressional hearings, academic commentary, and press coverage.” *In re National Security Letter*, 930 F. Supp. 2d 1064, 1076 (N.D. Cal. 2013). The ability of *Amici* to address these issues in a public setting in this matter will “further inform the ongoing public debate.” *Id.* This Court itself has recognized “the public interest” in the general subject of surveillance and oversight. *See In Re Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring the Production of Tangible Things*, Docket No. BR 13-109, Amended Memorandum Opinion (Aug. 29, 2013), at 29.

* * *

Pursuant to FISC Rule of Procedure 7(h)(1), Attorneys for the *Amici* certify that each of the undersigned Attorneys for *Amici* is a licensed attorney and a member, in good standing, of the bar of United District Court for the Southern District of New York. Pursuant to FISC Rule of Procedure 7(i), Attorneys for the *Amici* further certify that the undersigned do not currently hold a security clearance.

October 10, 2013

OF COUNSEL:

PETER SCHEER
First Amendment Coalition
ALEXANDER ABDO
American Civil Liberties Union
KEVIN BANKSTON
Center for Democracy and Technology
MATT ZIMMERMAN
MARK RUMOLD

Respectfully submitted,



FLOYD ABRAMS
DEAN RINGEL
CAHILL GORDON & REINDEL LLP
80 Pine Street
New York, New York 10005
(212) 701-3000
fabrams@cahill.com

Attorneys for Amici Curiae

Electronic Frontier Foundation
BERIN SZOKA
TechFreedom

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Dean Ringel, hereby certify that on this day, October 10, 2013, pursuant to Rule 8(a) of the Rules of Procedure for the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court, I caused to be served two copies of the foregoing motion, on the following person by hand delivery:

Michael Macisso
Litigation Security Group
U.S. Department of Justice
Two Constitution Square
145 N Street, N.E.
Suite 2W-115
Washington, DC 20530

I further certify that I caused to be served a copy of the foregoing motion on the following persons by electronic mail:

Albert Gidari
Perkins Coie LLP
1201 Third Avenue
Suite 4900
Seattle, WA 98101
agidari@perkinscoie.com

Attorneys for Google Inc.

James Garland
Covington & Burlington LLP
1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004
jgarland@cov.com

Attorneys for Microsoft Corporation

Nicholas J. Patterson
U.S. Department of Justice
National Security Division
950 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington, DC 20530
Nicholas.Patterson@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for the United States of America

By: 

Dean Ringel
CAHILL GORDON & REINDEL LLP
80 Pine Street
New York, New York 10005
(212) 701-3000
dringel@cahill.com

Attorneys for Amici Curiae